

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/647,264	SHEN, CHIEN-CHING	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sameer K. Gokhale	2629	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) Sameer K. Gokhale (USPTO). (3) _____.

(2) Steven M. Rabin (Applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6-9-06
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Claims discussed:
Claim 15
Prior art documents discussed:
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Rabin authorized an examiner's amendment to minor errors in Fig. 1 and Claim 15. Mr. Rabin clarified the examiner's understanding of applicant's response to a drawing objection in the previous office action.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)


(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)